

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/978,515	Applicant(s) ZARE ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.(2) K. Alison de Runtz.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None


Prior art documents discussed:

*None***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The examiner proposed cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. K. Alison de Runtz then authorized the cancellation of the claims by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)